



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexadria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,085	12/02/1999	KATSUTOSHI SAKAO	SONYJP3.3-0	9445
530	7590 05/22/2003			
LERNER, D	AVID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	11
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	ι/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

31

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/445,085	SAKAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the pro	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	March 2003 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-58</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	oproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	• • •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 05, 2003 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 53 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 53 and 57 include limitations drawn such that the first output terminal is preferentially selected. Upon reviewing the specification, the Examiner could not find support for the limitation.

Art Unit: 2654

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 50-52, 54-56, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al (US Patent No. 6,199,076) in view of Case (US Patent No. 6,516,299).
- 4. Regarding claim 50, Logan teaches a receiver for receiving compressed digital data as converting received digital signals to analog for use with a speaker (col. 4, lines 55-59) and storing the received data on a replaceable media (col. 7, lines 63-66)

Additionally, Logan teaches a decoder for decoding the received compressed digital data to provide decoded digital data as a stereo codec at col. 4, lines 55-56

Additionally, Logan teaches compressed data of a plurality of contents at col. 5, lines 55-col. 6, lines 5 in which Logan teaches that the compressed data consists of audio programs, announcements, text, image, advertising segments and program catalog information and col. 44, lines 5-48 in which Logan teaches of Audio Programming with HTML which allows for interactively browsing audio programs with synchronized images, conversion of HTML to synthetic speech, and viewing and printing of narrative text.

Logan teaches a first output terminal for providing the compressed digital data to an external device through a bi-directional data communication line at col. 4, line 46-50.

Art Unit: 2654

Logan teaches a second output terminal for providing the decoded digital data to the external device through a one-way data communication line at col. 7, lines 63-66.

Logan does not specifically teach a controller that determines which type of data to provide to an external device as a function of a connection state with the external device.

However, selectively providing data type to a device as a function of the device capabilities was well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Case teaches a method and system for modifying audio signals for compatibility of a selected playback destination. Specifically, Case teaches implementation of a controller which identifies one of a plurality of destinations, modifies the audio data as needed to be compatible with the identified destination, and transmits the audio data to the destination (col. 4, line 46 continuing to col. 5, line 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Logan to implement a controller for controlling the type of data output to external devices, as provided by Case, for the purpose of ensuring that the transmitted data can be fully utilized at the receiver.

Regarding claim 51, Logan teaches converting the received digital signals to analog for use with a speaker (col. 4, lines 55-59).

Regarding claim 52, receiving compressed digital data and additional information at col. 5, lines 55-col. 6, lines 5, wherein the additional information includes images (col. 6, lines 1-3; col. 5, lines 55-59), character information (col. 4, lines 46-50, col. 5, line 55 – col. 6, line 5), compressed tunes (col. 5, lines 55-63) and the audio data and additional information are distributed through digital broadcast (col. 40, lines 18-21).

Art Unit: 2654

Regarding claims 54-56 and 58, claims 54-56 and 58 are similar in scope and content to claims 50-52, and are rejected under similar rationale.

5. Claims 53 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al (US Patent No. 6,199,076) in view of Case (US Patent No. 6,516,299), as applied to claims 50 and 54 above, in further view of well known prior art.

6. Regarding claims 53 and 57, Logan and Case teach everything as claimed in claims 50 and 54. The combination does not specifically teach that the controller carries out control so that a connection for providing the received compressed digital data to an external device is preferentially selected. However, preferentially providing compressed data to an external device was well known in the art as a mechanism for reducing data storage requirements.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to preferentially provide compressed data to an external device in the audio distribution system of Logan, for the purpose of reducing data storage requirements, as was well known in the art.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Katz et al (US Patent No. 5,926,624) teaches a digital information library delivery system with logic for generating files targeted to the playback device.

Art Unit: 2654

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Angela A. Armstrong

Examiner

Art Unit 2654

AAA May 19, 2003

Marsha D. Banks-Harold SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**